

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/680,401	10/05/2000	Seinosuke Mizuno	198224USOX	1884	
22850 7590 04/04/2005			EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			DICUS, TAMRA .		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774		
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Analiaaada	— U			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/680,401	MIZUNO ET AL.				
	onice Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Tamra L. Dicus	1774				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	lears on the cover sneet v	nun une correspondence address				
THE - External after of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 D	ecember 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 24 and 25 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 24 and 25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	:г.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage)			
Attachmer							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

K

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed 12-21-04. The Examiner acknowledges cancellation of claims 20-23. The 112 and 103 rejection over Davis in view of Takahashi is withdrawn due to Applicant's cancellation of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The Examiner believes that independent claim 24 (new) does not have the proper support in the original specification as filed because the specification does not provide any teaching or discussion on "a linear shrinkage-controlling portion" or its usage with Applicant's claimed elastomeric article.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 24 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The closest prior art of record is USPN 5,085,905 to Beck, USPN 4,480,066 to Davis et al., and

USPN 5,566,510 to Hollingshead et al. The cited prior art of record does not teach or suggest an

elastomeric article for a door window glassrun channel of a vehicle as in claim 24 having an

elastomeric portion extruded around an outer periphery of a shrinkage-controlling portion, where

the elastomeric portion being bonded by vulcanization to the shrinkage-controlling portion.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Because of the new amendment, a new ground of rejection is presented.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 1774

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamra L. Dicus whose telephone number is 571-272-1519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toth-free).

Tamra L. Dicus

Examiner

Art Unit 1774

4/07/05 3/16/05

RENA DYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINI